

of such terminology, it is believed that the incorporation by reference of the previous discussion answer fully the Examiner's instant objections and rejections.

Also, it is clear that the Examiner's rejection based on Ballantine as the primary reference is completely without foundation. Ballantine's "network 22" is not "generally available to the public" as is the "Internet". Careful reading of Ballantine at column 4, line 67, shows that 22 is a "LOCAL AREA NETWORK", that is internal to the hospital. Those outside the hospital, obtains access through the "DBS" or "wireless". See col. 6, lines 47-67, which states that the "master library ML is linked to the external source via the Direct Broadcast Satellite DBS.. to receive or transmit relevant information...etc."

In other words, clearly, local area network is within the hospital, and access to the ML is through the DBS. Ballantine's ML (master library) is NOT accessed by a remote subscriber through a network or "Internet".

Therefore, clearly, Ballantine is nowhere close to the instant invention. However, applicant has inserted the words "internet" to satisfy the Examiner. In the event such wording is not allowed, and the claims are not allowed, we will appeal based on the prior wording "Network" since, that is still distinguishable.

In view of the foregoing, applicant respectfully solicits  
reconsideration and allowance.

respectfully

M. KOJIMA

MOONRAY KOJIMA

BOX 627

WILLIAMSTOWN, MA 01267

Tel (413)458-2880.

21 June 04